# From Test Purposes to Asynchronous Test Cases

Adenilso Simão (Ades)\* adenilso@icmc.usp.br

Alexandre Petrenko petrenko@crim.ca

Centre de Recherche Informatique de Montreal (CRIM), Canada \*On a leave from Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

A-Most/ICST @ Paris, April, 2010

# Problem Statement

- Given an Input/Output Transition System (IOTS)
  - Specification
- And a test purpose
  - Representing some functionalities
- Generate a test case
  - The communication between tester and implementation should non-blocking

# Test Purpose and Test Case

#### Test Purpose is an IOTS

- Set of finite output-branching traces to be executed/observed
- No verdicts
- Test Case is an IOTS which should always reach a verdict state
  - fail, pass or inc
- A sound test case
  - No fail for good implementation









!es = espresso

?cn = coin

 $\delta = quiescence$ 





!es = espresso ?cn = coin

 $\delta = quiescence$ 

#### Test Architecture

#### Asynchronous communication

#### Via queues









!cf = coffee!es = espresso?cn = coin $\delta = quiescence$ 

Unsoundness is due to queue distortion



# How to take into account the distortion due to queues?

# Queue Composing Approach

- Compose the specification with queues before test generation
  - Proposed in previous work
  - State explosion

# Proposed Solution

 Avoid the explicit composition of the specification, queues and the test purpose by finding an appropriate transformation of the test purpose

#### The test purpose





#### Adding outputs





#### Delaying inputs



lcf les ?cn lcf les ?cn ?cn ?cn ?cn lcf δ les ?cn ?cn

#### Output completion



#### Composing with Specification



#### Delaying Outputs



#### Delaying Outputs



Test Case Construction (possible solution)

?cn

?cn

δ

les!

pass



!es = espresso?cn = coin $\delta = quiescence$ 

#### Test Case Construction



#### Completing with Fail



Comparing Queue Composing and Transformation Approaches

- Queue Composing Approach
  - Complexity:  $O(n|I|^{K}|O|^{L}t)$  states
    - I is the set of inputs
    - O is the set of outputs
    - K is the number of inputs in the test purpose
    - *L* is the number of outputs in the test purpose
    - *t* is the number of states in the test purpose
- Transformation Approach
  - Complexity:  $O(n|O|^2 t^2)$  states

# Comparing Queue Composing and Transformation Approaches



- Fixed specification
- Randomly generated test purposes

# Mealy IOTS

#### Stable State

- No output, no internal transition
- If inputs are used only in stable states
  - Then synchronous test cases are sound for asynchronous testing
- Mealy IOTS
  - No input/output conflict

# Comparing Queue Composing and Transformation Approaches



- Conference protocol
  - Mealy IOTS
- Adding input transitions in unstable states
  - Creating input/output conflicts

# Conclusions

- The proposed solution
  - Relies on test purpose transformation
  - Better scalable, since the queues are not composed with the specification
- The more input/output conflicts in the specification, the bigger the benefits

### Future Work

- Experiment with bigger specifications
- Extend the results to distributed testing (multiple queues)

# Merci beaucoup!