Looking for a needle in a haystack –
Good strategies for test case selection
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About us

- Our references in 30 years of industrial experience
  - medical engineering
  - pharmacy
  - automotive

- Expertise:
  complex and safety critical systems
Agenda

- Presentation of the challenge (ca. 20 min)
- The example model (10 min)
- Interactive discussion (45 min)
- Output generation presented live (10 min)
- Summary and conclusion (5 min)
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The challenge

Select the “ideal” set of TCs

- … but “ideal” for what?
- preliminary thoughts
  - Test focus: What do I want to test?
    - workflow / functionality / non-functional requirements
    - nominal behavior / error scenarios
    ⇒ different test focus = different model
  - Test goal: What do I want to obtain?
    - specific coverage / selected test cases (“happy path”)
    ⇒ different test goal = different test of “ideal” test cases
At half past six in the morning...

Error scenario „nervous due to too many coffees“ is not within **test focus**
Manual test case selection

Diagram:
- asleep
- tired
- awake
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Manual test case selection (conclusion)

- We are used to select the paths (order of test steps) in a way...
  - ...we think fits the test goal

- The selection (test design) is influenced by...
  - ...the order in which ideas come to our mind.

- Already with a very simple model, it is difficult ...
  - ...to keep the overview
  - We rather do not change the selected paths (test specification).
  - We test more than necessary for the defined test goal.
    (or too few...)
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Test case selection

Now imagine the same task without a model...
...and for a complex system !!!
Automatic test case generation

- Full path coverage with maximum number of loops = 1
  - 24 test cases generated

- Edge coverage (MBTsuite)
  - 3 test cases left

- Full path coverage with maximum number of loops = 2
  - 88 test cases generated
  ⇒ test case explosion
Test Case 1

Diagram:
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- Process step
- Process step
- Process step
- Condition
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Notes:
- Date: 18.10.2011
- Email: anne.kramer@seppmed.de
Test Case 2
Test Case 3

[Diagram with test case details]
“Happy path” is missing
Automatic test case generation (conclusion)

- Full path coverage…
  - provides a set of all test cases that *could* be useful
  - rapidly leads to test case explosion
    - due to loops and combinatory paths

- Tools help to reduce these test cases to a manageable amount

- Edge coverage is a good minimum criterion…
  - to ensure a defined coverage
  - but often not sufficient to reach the test goal

- The generated TCs are often not the ones you have expected!
Test case generation settings

Generation strategy
- Full Path
- Named Path
- Guided Path
- Random Path

Coverage Filter
- Node coverage
- Edge coverage
- Decision coverage
- Requirement coverage
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The system under test

- coin slot
- 0,05 to 2,0 €
- choice of drinks
- display
- returned money
- output
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Summary and conclusion

- Think differently!
  - Automatic test case generation is a paradigm change.
  - Do not impose your choice of test cases.

- Be pragmatic!
  - The way the model is written determines the number of test cases.
  - A test case model is NOT a design model.

- Use different generation settings!
  - Depending on the test goal, different settings are useful.
Discussion

anne.kramer@seppmed.de
www.seppmed.de

sepp.med gmbh
Gewerbering 9
91341 Röttenbach
+49 (0)9195/931-0