# MODEL BASED TEST DESIGN FOR PERFORMANCE TESTING AND OTHER NON-FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

MATTIAS ARMHOLT ERICSSON AB



Introduction

Model Based Test Design for Performance Testing and other Non-Functional Requirements

Model Design Techniques

Conclusions

My work

- > Function tester at Ericsson AB
- > Testing IP functionality in a middleware platform
- > Working with MBT and automation for 3 years

Environment

- Conformiq Modeler Model Design
- > Conformiq Designer Test generator
- > Java and TCL/Expect Test automation framework

#### Test models



# Non-Functional Requirements (NFR)

- > Capacity
- > Performance Requirements
  - Response time
  - Throughput
  - Processor-utilization
- > Interoperability
  - IP Standards
- > Robustness
- > Stability
- > And more ...

# Problems With NFR

#### Lookahead depth

 Tool algorithm do not want to repeat the same transitions multiple times without fulfilling new requirements or covering new states/transitions.

#### Parametersation

- Requirements need transitions with several parameters to fire many times.
- The number of parameter combinations becomes unable to handle.
- Would generate thousands of test cases.



# Problems With NFR

#### Robustness/Stability

 Nothing new should happen during test

Measurements

 No transition available in SUT for measurements

Capacity

No clear boundary value to test with.



# Method For MBT Of NFR

- > Group the Non-Functional requirements based on similarities
- > Evaluate if the group is possible to include in the model
- > Design a test model including non-functional requirements
- > Generate Test Cases



# NFR Testability

- > NFR requirements logic can be included in the test harness/environment
  - Use test applications for iterations
  - External equipment for interoperability
  - Add functionality to test harness
  - Increase SUT testability with test commands
- > Testability is one criteria for MBT of NFR



#### > Design the non-functional requirements in the model with

- Requirement keyword
- Ad hoc requirements
- States
- Transitions
- Parameters

- > Group iterations together
  - Don't create 1 host 100 times, create 100 hosts at 1 time
  - Removes risk of parametersation and lookahead depth
  - Reduces test case length makes it easier to read
  - Add logic in test harness or test environment





- > Use different abstraction levels
  - Focus the transitions to the parameters that counts for NFR
  - Use precondition when modeling NFR
  - Reduces the risk of unnecessary parameter combination testing





#### Conclusions

- In order to develop a good model covering non-functional requirements, you need to practice and learn how the tool generate test cases
- Support for testing of NFR must be possible to include in the test harness or test environment
- In general NFR increases logic and complexity in test harness and test environment

#### Conclusions

- Model cost of NFR the same compared to functional requirements
- > Test harness/environment support development for NFR cost more compared to functional requirements
- Most valuable when NFR and functional requirements are modeled together
- > Gain maintenance cost by MBT for all requirements
  - Cost less to maintain model + test harness compared to separate test scripts



# ERICSSON